

# Potential theory on orthogonal polynomials arising from subnormal and hyponormal operators

Nikos Stylianopoulos University of Cyprus

OPSFA 2019, Hagenberg, Austria July 2019



Let  $\mu$  be a finite positive Borel measure having compact and infinite support  $S := \text{supp}(\mu)$  in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then, the measure  $\mu$  yields the Lebesgue spaces  $L^2(\mu)$  with inner product

$$\langle f,g
angle_{\mu}=\int f(z)\overline{g(z)}d\mu(z)$$

and norm

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}:=\langle f,g\rangle_{\mu}^{1/2}.$$

Let  $\{p_n(\mu, z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  denote the sequence of orthonormal polynomials associated with  $\mu$ . That is, the unique sequence of the form

$$p_n(\mu, z) = \kappa_n(\mu) z^n + \cdots, \quad \kappa_n(\mu) > 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

satisfying  $\langle p_m(\mu, \cdot), p_n(\mu, \cdot) \rangle_{\mu} = \delta_{m,n}$ .



### The recovery from moments problem

The (inverse) moment problem

Given the infinite sequence of complex moments

$$\mu_{m,n} := \int z^m \overline{z}^n d\mu(z), \quad m,n=0,1,2,\ldots,$$

where  $\mu$  is a (non-trivial) finite positive Borel measure with compact support on  $\mathbb{C}$ , find the support  $S := \text{supp}(\mu)$  of  $\mu$ .

In many applications we are interested in a truncated version of the above:

Given a finite section of the infinite sequence of complex moments  $\{\mu_{m.n}\}$  compute an approximation to S.



# Existence - A partial result

#### Theorem (Atzmon, Pacific J. Math., 1975)

Let  $\{a_{m,n}\}_{m,n=0}^{\infty}$  be an infinite matrix of complex numbers. Then,  $a_{m,n} := \int z^m \overline{z}^n d\mu(z), m, n = 0, 1, 2, ...,$  holds for some positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on the closed unit disc, if and only if for any matrix  $\{c_{j,k}\}_{j,k=0}^{\infty}$  with only finitely many nonzero entries:

$$\sum_{n,n,j,k=0}^{\infty} a_{m+j,n+k} c_{n,j} \overline{c}_{m,k} \ge 0,$$

and for any sequence  $\{w_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  with only finitely many nonzero terms:

$$\sum_{m,n}^{\infty} (a_{m,n} - a_{m+1,n+1}) w_m \overline{w}_n \ge 0,$$



# The recovery from moments problem

#### Uniqueness

The infinite sequence of complex moments

$$\mu_{m,n} := \int z^m \overline{z}^n d\mu(z), \quad m,n=0,1,2,\ldots,$$

defines the measure  $\mu$  uniquly.

This is a simple consequence of:

- The Riesz representation theorem.
- The complex form of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem.

### Question

Are there cases where the analytic moments  $\int z^m d\mu(z)$ , m = 0, 1, 2, ..., alone, suffice to define  $\mu$  uniquely?



# The case of Jordan arcs and curves

### Theorem (Walsh, 1926)

Assume that  $\Gamma$  is a bounded Jordan arc and let  $f \in C(\Gamma)$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $p \in \mathbb{P}[z]$ , such that

 $\|f(z) - p(z)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)} \leq \varepsilon.$ 

Similarly, by using conformal mapping it is easy to see that

Theorem (Gaier's book on Approximation, 1987)

Assume that  $\Gamma$  is a bounded Jordan curve and let  $f \in C(\Gamma)$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist p and q in  $\mathbb{P}[z]$ , such that

 $\|f(z)-\{p(z)+\overline{q(z)}\}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)}\leq \varepsilon.$ 

Hence, the analytic moments suffice to determine uniquely any positive Borel measure supported on  $\Gamma,$  in both cases.



# A counterexample?

### Theorem (Sakai, Proc. AMS, 1978)

There exists two distinct Jordan domains  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , such that

$$\int_{G_1} z^m dA(z) = \int_{G_2} z^m dA(z), \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

where A denotes the area measure.

Note: The area measure is supported on the closure of the domain of definition!



# An unicity theorem for measures on outer boundaries

#### Theorem

Let K be a compact set in the complex plane of positive logarithmic capacity and denote by  $\Omega$  the component of  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K$  that contains infinity. Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be two positive Borel measures, supported on  $\partial\Omega$ , such that

$$\int z^m d\mu(z) = \int z^m d\nu(z), \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

Then  $\mu = \nu$ .

This is a consequence of Carleson's unicity theorem for measures: (Carleson, Math. Scand.,1964 & Saff and Totik, Logarithmic Potentials, Springer, 1997)



### An open problem

#### Does it hold?

Let *K* be a compact set in the complex plane of positive logarithmic capacity and denote by  $\Omega$  the component of  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K$  that contains infinity, let  $f \in C(\partial \Omega)$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist *p* and *q* in  $\mathbb{P}[z]$ , such that

 $\|f(z)-\{p(z)+\overline{q(z)}\}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)}\leq \varepsilon.$ 



# Recovery of the equilibrium measure: An example

*G* bounded simply-connected,  $\Gamma := \partial G$ ,  $\Omega := \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{G}$ 

$$\Phi(z) = \gamma z + \gamma_0 + \frac{\gamma_1}{z} + \frac{\gamma_2}{z^2} + \cdots \qquad \text{cap}(\Gamma) = 1/\gamma$$

$$\Psi(w) = bw + b_0 + \frac{b_1}{w} + \frac{b_2}{w^2} + \cdots \qquad \text{cap}(\Gamma) = b$$

Theorem (Hille, Analytic Function Theory II, Chelsea, 1962)

Assume that

$$rac{\Phi'(z)}{\Phi(z)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} rac{M_k}{z^{k+1}}.$$
 Then,  $M_k = \int \zeta^k d\mu_{\Gamma},$ 

where  $\mu_{\Gamma}$  is the equilibrium measure of  $\Gamma$ .



# Recovery of open sets from complex area moments

#### Theorem (Davis & Pollak, Trans. AMS, 1956)

Let T be a bounded open set which posses exterior points in any neighborhood of any boundary point. Then, the infinite complex moments matrix  $[\mu_{m,k}]_{m,k=0}^{\infty}$ , with respect to the area measure, defines uniquely T.

This leads to applications in 2D geometric tomography, through the Radon transform.



# The Arnoldi algorithm for OP's

Let  $\mu$  be a (non-trivial) finite positive Borel measure with compact support supp( $\mu$ ) on  $\mathbb{C}$  and consider the associated series of orthonormal polynomials

 $p_n(\mu, z) := \kappa_n(\mu) z^n + \cdots, \quad \kappa_n(\mu) > 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$ 

generated by the inner product

$$\langle f,g\rangle_{\mu}=\int f(z)\overline{g(z)}d\mu(z), \quad \|f\|_{L^{2}(\mu)}:=\langle f,g\rangle_{\mu}^{1/2}.$$

Arnoldi Gram-Schmidt (GS) for Orthonormal Polynomials

At the *n*-th step, apply GS to orthonormalize the polynomial  $zp_{n-1}$  (instead of  $z^n$ ) against the (already computed) orthonormal polynomials  $\{p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1}\}$ .

Used by Gragg & Reichel, in Linear Algebra Appl. (1987), for the construction of Szegö polynomials.



# Bergman polynomials



$$\langle f,g\rangle := \int_G f(z)\overline{g(z)}dA(z), \quad \|f\|_{L^2(G)} := \langle f,f\rangle^{1/2}.$$

The Bergman polynomials  $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  of *G* are the orthonormal polynomials w.r.t. the area measure on *G*:

$$\langle p_m, p_n \rangle = \int_G p_m(z) \overline{p_n(z)} dA(z) = \delta_{m,n},$$

with

$$p_n(z) = \kappa_n z^n + \cdots, \quad \kappa_n > 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$$



# Ratio asymptotics for $p_n(z)$

#### Theorem (St, Constr. Approx. 2013)

Assume that  $\Gamma$  is piecewise analytic without cusps. Then, for any  $z \in \Omega$ , and sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{n+1}}\frac{p_n(z)}{p_{n-1}(z)} = \Phi(z)\{1+B_n(z)\}$$

where

$$|B_n(z)| \leq \frac{c_1(\Gamma)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{dist}(z,\Gamma)} |\Phi'(z)|} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + c_2(\Gamma) \frac{1}{n}.$$



# Ratio asymptotics for $p_n(z)$

#### On compact subsets of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ we have

Theorem (Beckermann & St, Constr. Approx. 2018)

Assume that  $\Gamma$  is piecewise analytic without cusps. Then,

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{n+1}}\frac{p_n(z)}{p_{n-1}(z)} = \Phi(z)\{1 + O(1/n)\}$$

locally uniformly in  $\Omega$ .



# Discovery of a single island

Recovery Algorithm: St, Constr. Approx. 2013

- (I) Use the Arnoldi GS to compute  $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n$ .
- (II) Compute the coefficients of the Laurent series of the ratio

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{n+1}}\frac{p_n(z)}{p_{n-1}(z)} = \gamma^{(n)}z + \gamma_0^{(n)} + \frac{\gamma_1^{(n)}}{z} + \frac{\gamma_2^{(n)}}{z^2} + \frac{\gamma_3^{(n)}}{z^3} + \cdots$$
 (1)

(III) Revert (1) and truncate to obtain

$$\begin{split} \Psi_n(w) &:= b^{(n)}w + b_0^{(n)} + \frac{b_1^{(n)}}{w} + \frac{b_2^{(n)}}{w^2} + \frac{b_3^{(n)}}{w^3} + \dots + \frac{b_n^{(n)}}{w^n}. \end{split}$$
Approximate  $\Gamma$  by  $\widetilde{\Gamma} := \{z : z = \Psi_n(e^{it}), t \in [0, 2\pi] \}. \end{split}$ 

(IV)

[17] nace

**University of Cyprus** 



### Numerical Examples



Potential Theory Operator Theory

#### Moments Recovery





Comparison: The exponential transform algorithm of Gustafsson, He, Milanfar & Putinar, Inverse Problems (2000).





Potential Theory Operator Theory

#### Moments Recovery



# Discovery of an archipelago



Archipelago Recovery Algorithm (Gustafsson, Putinar, Saff & St, Adv. Math., 2009.)

- (I) Use the Arnoldi GS to compute  $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n$ , from  $[\mu_{m,k}]_{m,k=0}^n$ .
- (II) Form the recovery functional

$$\Lambda_n(z) := [K_n(z,z)]^{-1/2} = \left[\sum_{k=0}^n |p_k(z)|^2\right]^{-1/2}$$

- (III) Plot the zeros of  $p_j$ , for some  $1 \le j \le n$ . (Fejer's Theorem!)
- (IV) Plot the level curves of the function  $\Lambda_n(x + iy)$ , on a suitable rectangular frame for (x, y) that surrounds the plotted zero set.



#### Recovery of three disks



Level lines of  $\Lambda_n(x + iy)$  on  $\{(x, y) : -3 \le x \le 4, -2 \le y \le 3\}$ , for n = 25, 50, 75, 100.





### Shift Operator

Let  $\mathcal{P}^2(\mu)$  denote the closure of the polynomials in  $L^2(\mu)$  and consider the shift operator on  $\mathcal{P}^2(\mu)$ . That is,

$$S_z: \mathcal{P}^2(\mu) \to \mathcal{P}^2(\mu)$$
 with  $S_z f = zf$ .

#### Properties of Sz

- (i)  $S_z$  defines a subnormal operator on  $\mathcal{P}^2(\mu)$ .
- (ii)  $\sigma(S_z) = ?$

(iii)  $S_{\overline{z}}^*(f) = P(\overline{z}f)$ , where *P* denotes the orthogonal projection from  $L^2(\mu)$  to  $\mathcal{P}^2(\mu)$ .

Proof of (iii): For any  $f, g \in \mathcal{P}^2(\mu)$  it holds that

$$\langle S_z^*f,g\rangle = \langle f,S_zg\rangle = \langle f,zg\rangle = \langle \overline{z}f,g\rangle = \langle P(\overline{z}f),g\rangle.$$



# Matrix representation for $S_z$

The shift operator  $S_z$  has the following upper Hessenberg matrix representation with respect to the orthonormal polynomials  $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ :

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{00} & b_{01} & b_{02} & b_{03} & b_{04} & b_{05} & \cdots \\ b_{10} & b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} & b_{14} & b_{15} & \cdots \\ 0 & b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} & b_{24} & b_{25} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & b_{32} & b_{33} & b_{34} & b_{35} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{43} & b_{44} & b_{45} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{54} & b_{55} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $b_{k,n} = \langle zp_n, p_k \rangle$  are the Fourier coefficients of  $S_z p_n = zp_n$ .

Note

The eigenvalues of the  $n \times n$  principal submatrix  $\mathcal{M}_n$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  coincide with the zeros of  $p_n$ .



### Example: $\mu = dA|_{\mathbb{D}}$

This example shows why modern text books on Functional Analysis or Operators Theory do not refer to infinite matrices: Indeed, in this case we have:

$$p_n(z) = \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{\pi}} z^n, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

Therefore, in the matrix representation  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $S_z$  the only non-zero diagonals are the main subdiagonal, and hence for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is a nilpotent matrix. As a result, the Caley-Hamilton theorem implies:

$$\sigma(\mathcal{M}_n) = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

This is in sharp contrast to:

$$\sigma_{ess}(\mathcal{M}) = \sigma_{ess}(\mathcal{S}_z) = \{ w : |w| = 1 \}$$

and

$$\sigma(\mathcal{M}) = \sigma(\mathcal{S}_z) = \{ w : |w| \le 1 \}.$$



# Shift Operator on $L^2(\mu)$

Let  $N_z$  denote the shift operator on  $L^2(\mu)$ . That is,

$$N_z: L^2(\mu) \to L^2(\mu)$$
 with  $N_z f = zf$ .

Then,  $N_z$  defines a normal operator on  $L^2(\mu)$ . Furthermore,

$$p_n(\mu, z) = \kappa_n(\mu) \det(z - \pi_n N_z \pi_n),$$

where  $\pi_n$  is the projection onto onto  $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}$ .

Theorem (B. Simon, Duke Math. J., 2009)

Let

 $N(\mu) := \sup\{|z| : z \in S_{\mu}\}.$ 

Then, for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\pi_n N_z^k \pi_n - (\pi_n N_z \pi_n)^k,$$

is an operator of rank at most k and norm at most  $2N(\mu)^k$ .



# Shift Operator on $L^2(\mu)$

Let  $\nu_n$  denote the normalized counting measure of zeros of  $p_n$  and let  $\mu_n$  be defined by  $d\mu_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |p_n(\mu, z)|^2 d\mu(z)$ .

Theorem (B. Simon, Duke Math. J., 2009)

$$\frac{1}{n}\operatorname{Tr}(\pi_n N_z \pi_n)^k = \int z^k d\nu_n.$$
$$\frac{1}{n}\operatorname{Tr}(\pi_n N_z^k \pi_n) = \int z^k d\mu_n.$$

Thus, from the previous theorem, for any k = 0, 1, 2, ...,

$$\left|\int z^k d\nu_{p_n} - \int z^k d\mu_n\right| \leq \frac{2kN^k(\mu)}{n}$$

Furthermore, if *K* is a compact set containing the supports of all  $\nu_n$ and  $\mu$ , such that  $\{z_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \cup \{\overline{z}_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  are  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ -total in C(K), then for any subsequence  $\{n_j\}, \nu_{n_j} \xrightarrow{*} \nu$  if and only if  $\mu_{n_j} \xrightarrow{*} \nu$ . Potential Theory Operator Theory Matrix Shift Krylov



### Krylov subspaces

Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  be a linear bounded operator acting on the complex Hilbert space H and let  $\xi \in H$  be a non-zero vector. We denote by  $H_n(A,\xi)$  the linear span of the vectors  $\xi, A\xi, ..., A^{n-1}\xi$  and let  $\pi_n$  be the orthogonal projection of H onto  $H_n(A,\xi)$ . Let  $a_n$  denote the counting measure of the spectra of the *finite central truncation*  $A_n = \pi_n A \pi_n$ . Note that for any complex polynomial p(z) it holds that

$$\int p(z) da_n(z) = \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(p(A_n))}{n}$$

The orthogonal monic polynomials  $P_n$  in this case are defined as minimizers of the functional (semi-norm):

$$\|q\|_{A,\xi}^2 = \|q(A)\xi\|^2, \ q \in \mathbb{C}[z],$$

and the zeros of  $P_n$  (whenever  $P_n$  exists) coincide with the spectrum of  $A_n$ .



Theorem (Gustafsson & Putinar, Hyponormal Quantization of Planar Domains, Springer 2017)

Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  with A - B of finite trace. Then, for every polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{P}[z]$ , we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho(A_n))-\mathrm{Tr}(\rho(B_n))}{n}=0.$$

#### Corollary

Let  $a_n$ ,  $b_n$  denote the counting measures of the spectra of  $A_n$  and  $B_n$ , respectively. Then,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left[\int \frac{da_n(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} - \int \frac{db_n(\zeta)}{\zeta-z}\right] = 0,$$

uniformly on compact subsets which are disjoint of the convex hull of  $\sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B)$ .



# Conclusion

All the results in this section yield information about the analytic moments:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int z^kd\nu_n=\int z^kd\nu,\quad k=0,1,2,\ldots,$$

where  $\nu$  is a known positive measure and  $\{\nu_n\}$  are a sequence of positive measures (all supported on the same compact set *K*) we want to describe its limit points. Note that the measures being positive implies the same information for the anti-analytic moments:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int \overline{z}^k d\nu_n = \int \overline{z}^k d\nu, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots.$$



### Conclusion

However, according to the complex Stone-Weierstrass theorem, in order to establish

$$\nu_n \xrightarrow{*} \nu,$$

we need the limits of all the complex moments

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int z^k\overline{z}^jd\nu_n=\int z^k\overline{z}^jd\nu,\quad k,j=0,1,2,\ldots,$$

unless K is of a special form, where the analytic moments constitute sufficient information.