

## ON A DOMAIN DECOMPOSITION METHOD FOR THE COMPUTATION OF CONFORMAL MODULES

N. PAPAMICHAEL and S. N. STYLIANOPOULOS

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Brunel University

**Abstract.** We consider a domain decomposition method for approximating the conformal modules of a certain class of long quadrilaterals.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Omega$  be a Jordan domain in the complex  $z$ -plane ( $z = x + iy$ ), and consider a system consisting of  $\Omega$  and four points  $z_j$ ;  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , in counter-clockwise order on its boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Such a system is said to be a quadrilateral

$$Q := \{\Omega; z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\}. \quad (1.1)$$

the conformal module  $m(Q)$  of  $Q$  is defined as follows:

Let  $R_H$  denote a rectangle of the form

$$R_H = \{(\xi, \eta) : 0 < \xi < 1, 0 < \eta < H\}, \quad (1.2)$$

in the  $w$ -plane ( $w = \xi + i\eta$ ). Then,  $m(Q)$  is the unique value of  $H$  for which  $Q$  is conformally equivalent to  $R_H$ . That is, for  $H = m(Q)$  and for this value only there exists a unique conformal map

$$f : \Omega \rightarrow R_H = R_{m(Q)}, \quad (1.2a)$$

which takes the four points  $z_j$ ;  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , respectively onto the four corners of the rectangle, i.e.  $f$  is such that

$$f(z_1) = 0, f(z_2) = 1, f(z_3) = 1 + im(Q) \text{ and } f(z_4) = im(Q). \quad (1.2b)$$

The conformal map (1.2) has many practical applications, and in these the value of  $m(Q)$  is often of special significance; see e.g. [2], [4:§ 16.11] and [7].

Now let  $\Omega$  be a domain bounded by the two straight lines  $x = 0$  and  $x = 1$ , and two Jordan arcs with cartesian equations  $y = -\tau_1(x)$  and  $y = \tau_2(x)$ , where  $\tau_j$ ;  $j = 1, 2$ , are positive in  $[0, 1]$ , and let  $z_j$ ;  $1, 2, 3, 4$ , be the four corners of  $\Omega$ . That is, let

$$Q = \{\Omega; z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\}, \quad (1.3a)$$

where

$$\Omega = \{(x, y) : 0 < x < 1, -\tau_1(x) < y < \tau_2(x)\}, \quad (1.3b)$$

and

$$z_1 = -i\tau_1(0), z_2 = 1 - i\tau_1(1), z_3 = 1 + i\tau_2(1), z_4 = i\tau_2(0). \quad (1.3c)$$

Also, let

$$\Omega_1 = \{(x, y) : 0 < x < 1, -\tau_1(x) < y < 0\}, \quad (1.4a)$$

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}\text{-}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

and

$$\Omega_2 = \{(x, y) : 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < \tau_2(x)\}, \quad (1.4b)$$

so that  $\bar{\Omega} = \bar{\Omega}_1 \cup \bar{\Omega}_2$ , and let

$$Q_1 = \{\Omega_1; z_1, z_2, 1, 0\} \text{ and } Q_2 = \{\Omega_2; 0, 1, z_3, z_4\} \quad (1.4c)$$

Then, as is shown in [4: p. 437],  $m(Q) \geq m(Q_1) + m(Q_2)$ , and equality occurs only in the two trivial cases where: (a)  $\Omega$  is a rectangle, and (b)  $\tau_1(x) = \tau_2(x)$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

The purpose of this note is to consider the problem of approximating  $m(Q)$  by the sum  $m(Q_1) + m(Q_2)$  and, in particular, to give an estimate of  $m(Q) - (m(Q_1) + m(Q_2))$ . In other words, we are concerned with a method for approximating  $m(Q)$ , based on decomposing the original quadrilateral (1.3) into the two quadrilaterals given by (1.4). Such a method is of practical interest, mainly, because it can reduce considerably the "crowding" difficulties associated with the computation of the conformal modules of "long" quadrilaterals of the form (1.3); see [5].

## 2. ESTIMATE OF $m(Q) - (m(Q_1) + m(Q_2))$

Let  $Q$  and  $Q_j$ ;  $j = 1, 2$ , denote the quadrilaterals defined by (1.3)- (1.4), and assume that the functions  $\tau_j$ ;  $j = 1, 2$ , in (1.3b) are absolutely continuous in  $[0, 1]$  and

$$d_j := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |\tau_j^1(x)| < \infty; \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (2.1)$$

Also, let

$$m_j := \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \{\exp(-\pi\tau_j(x))\}; \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$d = \max(d_1, d_2), \quad m = \max(m_1, m_2), \quad h = \min\{m(Q_1), m(Q_2)\}. \quad (2.3)$$

Then, our main result can be stated as follows.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *if*

$$\epsilon := d \{(1 + m^2)/(1 - m^2)\} < 1, \quad (2.4)$$

*then*

$$m(Q) - (m(Q_1) + m(Q_2)) < A(\epsilon)e^{-2\pi h}(2 + e^{-2\pi h}), \quad (2.5a)$$

*where*

$$A(\epsilon) = \left(\frac{8}{3}\right)^{1/2} \epsilon^2 / \{(1 - \epsilon)(1 - \epsilon^2)^{1/2}\}. \quad (2.5b)$$

■

REMARKS

2.1. Our method of proof makes extensive use of the theory of the Theodersen-Garrick method, and involves expressing the problem  $f : \Omega \rightarrow R_{m(Q)}$  as an equivalent problem for the conformal map of a doubly-connected domain onto a circular annulus; see [1: pp. 194-206] and [3]. The detailed proof will be given in a subsequent paper [6], together with the analysis of the decomposition method for determining the full conformal map  $f$ .

2.2. The theorem shows that for "large"  $h$ ,

$$m(Q) - (m(Q_1) + m(Q_2)) \sim e^{-2\pi h}. \tag{2.6}$$

That, is if  $Q$  is a "long" quadrilateral then  $m(Q)$  can be approximated closely by  $m(Q_1) + m(Q_2)$ .

2.3. Although the bound (2.5) is in terms of  $h = \min \{m(Q_1), m(Q_2)\}$ , it is in general very easy to obtain crude estimates of  $m(Q_j)$ ;  $j = 1, 2$ . For example,

$$\min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \tau_j(x) < m(Q_j) < \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \tau_j(x); j = 1, 2.$$

2.4. The condition (2.4) is needed for our method of proof. However, the results of several numerical experiments suggest that (2.6) holds even when the condition (2.4) is violated; see [6].

2.5. Considerable simplifications occur in the case where  $\tau_1(x) = c > 0, x \in [0,1]$ , i.e. where  $\Omega_1$  is a rectangle of height  $c$ . In this special case corresponding to Theorem 2.1 we have the following:

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $\tau_1(x) = c > 0, x \in [0,1]$ , so that  $m(Q_1) = c$ , and let  $d_2$  and  $m_2$  be defined by (2.1) and (2.2). If*

$$\epsilon := d_2 \{ (1 + m_2^2) / (1 - m_2^2) \} < 1, \tag{2.7}$$

then

$$(MQ) - (c + m(Q_2)) < A(\epsilon)e^{-2\pi m(Q_2)}(1 + e^{-2\pi c}), \tag{2.8a}$$

where

$$A(\epsilon) = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1/2} \epsilon^2 / \{ (1 - \epsilon)(1 - \epsilon^2)^{1/2} \}. \blacksquare \tag{2.8b}$$

3. EXAMPLE

Let  $Q$  and  $Q_j; j = 1, 2$ , be defined by (1.3)-(1.4) with

$$\tau_1(x) = 1 + 0.2 \operatorname{sech}^2(2.5x) \text{ and } \tau_2(x) = 1 + 0.5x.$$

Then, by using the algorithms of [3] we find that

$$m(Q) = 2.25194, m(Q_1) = 1.06549 \text{ and } m(Q_2) = 1.18628.$$

Thus,

$$m(Q) - (m(Q_1) + m(Q_2)) = 1.7 \times 10^{-4},$$

whilst (2.5) with  $h = m(Q_1)$  gives

$$m(Q) - (m(Q_1) + m(Q_2)) < 2.4 \times 10^{-3}.$$

**Acknowledgement** - One of us (NSS) wishes to thank the State Scholarships Foundation of Greece for their financial support.

#### REFERENCES

1. D. Gaier, "Konstruktive Methoden de Konformen Abbildung," Springer, Berlin, 1964.
2. D. Gaier, "Numerical methods in conformal mapping, in: Computational Aspects of Complex Analysis, H. Werner et al, eds.," Reidel, Dordrecht, 1983, pp. 51-78.
3. D. Gaier and N. Papamichael, *On the comparison of two numerical methods for conformal mapping*, IMA. J. numer. Anal. **7** (1987), 261-282.
4. P. Henrici, "Applied and Computational Complex Analysis," Wiley, New York, 1986.
5. N. Papamichael, C. A. Kokkinos, and M. K. Warby, *Numerical methods for conformal mapping onto a rectangle*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **20** (1987), 349-358.
6. N. Papamichael, and N. S. Stylianopoulos, *A Domain Decomposition Method for Conformal Mapping onto a Rectangle*.
7. L. N. Trefethen, *Analysis and Design of Polygonal Resistors by Conformal Mapping*, ZAMP **35** (1984), 692-704.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Brunel University, Uxbridge, Middx UB8 3PH, U. K.